IN THE HIGH COURT FOR ZAMBIA

2011/HP/957

AT THE PRINCIPAL REGISTRY

LUSAKA

BETWEEN:

MUSESHA CHITUNDU JOSEPH KANKUTA

PLAINTIFF

AND

CHISAMBA MABLE MWANSA

AND

GARY DAVIES CHIBANGULA

2ND DEFENDANT

16 SEP 2016 AD 1ST DEFENDANT

Before The Honourable Mrs Justice P.C.M. Ngulube in Chambers.

For the Plaintiff:

Dr. J. Mulwila, SC, Messrs Ituna Partners.

PRINCIPAL

REGISTRY

50067, LUSAKA

For the 1st Defendant:

No appearance

For the 2nd Defendant:

Mr Chitundu, Messrs Banaby & Chitundu

RULING

Cases referred to:

- 1. Daniel Mwale (Male) vs. Jolomile Mtonga Attorney General, SCZ Judgment Number 25 of 2015
- 2. William David Carlisle Wise vs. E.F. Henrvey Limited (1985) ZR 179 (S.C.)

This is the 2nd Defendants' application to raise a preliminary issue against the 1st Defendant. The Notice is dated 22nd February 2016. At the hearing of the application, Mr Chitundu on behalf of the 2nd Defendant submitted that he would rely on the said Notice. He submitted that the 1st Defendant filed in an affidavit in which she made reference to the Plaintiff's evidence at

trial. Mr Chitundu submitted that the affidavit is improperly before the court and prayed that it be expunged from the record. He submitted that there is no law or rule of procedure of this court that provides for the filing of an affidavit in a triable matter. Mr Chitundu submitted that he filed skeleton arguments on the 22^{nd} of February, 2016 in which several authorities were cited to the effect that the affidavit is wrongly before the court and should be expunged from the record.

Dr Mulwila, State Counsel declined to comment on the matter as the 1st Defendant has an Advocate on record. However. Mr Chitundu stated that the 1st Defendant has no legal representation and that she was served by way of substituted service on the 17th and 18th of August, 2016.

In the skeleton arguments, Mr Chitundu submitted that the 1st Defendant filed the affidavit on the 20th of August, 2015 without leave of the court and that this offends the rules of practice. Mr Chitundu submitted that the said affidavit is a departure from the case pleaded by the 1st Defendant at the close of pleadings. He submitted that this constitutes a separate and independent case. Mr Chitundu referred to the case of **Daniel Mwale (Male)** vs. Njolomile Mtonga, Attorney General¹, where the court stated that –

"pleadings are intended to prevent either party from springing up a surprise at that, or allowing an issue to creep up out of the woodwork. They serve the additional useful purpose of isolating the issue of law and fact that will fall to be determined by the trial court."

Mr Chitundu also referred to the cases of **William David Carlisle Wise vs. E.F. Hervey Limited**² and prayed that the affidavit be expunged from the court record with costs to the 2nd

Defendant, to be taxed in default of agreement.

I have considered the 2nd Defendant's Counsel's submission and the 1st Defendant's affidavit of 20th August, 2015 on the Deed of Settlement dated 8th December, 2011. I do agree that this affidavit is at variance with the one that the 1st Defendant filed on the 11th of October, 2011, in which she stated that she purchased the property in issue from Presidential Housing Initiative (PHI). She stated that she was the landlord and registered owner of the property and that the Plaintiff only acted her as her Advocate.

It is in the interest of justice that I now order that the affidavit that was filed by the 1st Defendant after the close of pleadings be expunged from the court record for the reason that it does not conform to the rules of pleadings and is at total variance with the one that the 1st Defendant filed on 11th October, 2011.

I further award costs to the 2nd Defendant which shall be taxed in default of agreement. Leave to appeal is granted.

Dated this 16th day of September, 2016.

HIGH COURT JUDGE